

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,749	07/15/2003	Shan Cong	5701-01293	9001
26659	7590 02/02/2005		EXAM	INER
DINNIN & DUNN, P.C.			ALSOMIRI, ISAM A	
2701 CAMBRIDGE COURT, STE. 500 AUBURN HILLS, MI 48326			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			DATE MAILED: 02/02/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/620,749	CONG ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Isam A Alsomiri	3662
The MAILING DATE of this communication		
THE REPLY FILED 18 January 2005 FAILS TO P		·
Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eit condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	d to avoid abandonment of this ap her: (1) a timely filed amendment Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	oplication. A proper reply to a which places the application in
PERIOD FO	OR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the r		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing da	ate of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of extension and the corresponding amount o cortened statutory period for reply originally se	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under tet in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appearance 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3		•
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	ered because:	
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or searce	ch (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see		,
(c) they are not deemed to place the applic issues for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal by r	materially reducing or simplifying th
(d) they present additional claims without of	canceling a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requapplication in condition for allowance becau	est for reconsideration has been o se: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		ELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended claim.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fo	llows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		·
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)] approved or b) ☐ disapproved	by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta	atement(s)(PTO-1449) Paner No(s)
o. Those the attached information Disclosure Ott		 -

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the objections to the specification to include the section heading (Background of the Invention) and (Brief Summary of the Invention), the objections has been withdrawn.

Regarding the arguments for claim 32, applicant argues that Russell's system does not teaches the claimed "to determine in which of a plurality of lanes the target vehicle is likely traveling", and applicant argues that the classification of an object as either in or out of the highway lane of the host vehicle is different than what is being claimed. Of an object ces either in or out of the highway lane of the host vehicle, applicant explains "For example, with a two lane road, the target vehicle could be in any of the following locations: 1) in the roadway lane adjacent to the host vehicle, 2) in the roadway lane of the host vehicle, or 3) in neither the roadway lane of the host vehicle or the roadway lane adjacent to the host vehicle (i.e. off of both roadway lanes, e.g. on one shoulder or the other). In this case, it would appear that the invention of Russell et al. would not be able to distinguish between scenarios 1 and 3 above". However, applicant's arguments are irrelevant in the situations where the roadway has only two lanes with no shoulder. Therefore, the rejections are maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isam A Alsomiri whose telephone number is 703-305-5702. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H Tarcza can be reached on 703-306-4171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isam Alsomiri

January 28, 2005

THOMAS H. TARCZA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

om as I Daves

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600